

# ASSESSMENT OF DENITRIFICATION RATES IN FISSURED-KARSTIC AQUIFER NEAR OPOLE (SOUTH-WEST POLAND): COMBINED USE OF GASEOUS AND ISOTOPE TRACERS

ANNA ŻUREK<sup>1</sup>, KAZIMIERZ RÓŻAŃSKI<sup>2</sup>, PAWEŁ MOCHALSKI<sup>3</sup>, TADEUSZ KUC<sup>2</sup>

Abstract. Denitrification is the only process leading to reduction of nitrate concentration in groundwater. In this paper the authors report the results of combined measurements of excess gaseous nitrogen and <sup>18</sup>O and <sup>15</sup>N isotope composition of dissolved nitrate in fissured-karstic Triassic aquifer located in southwestern Poland, in the vicinity of Opole. Generally, the wells located in the confined part of the aquifer reveal greatly reduced nitrate content (ca. 0.3 and 2.7 mg NO<sub>3</sub>/dm<sup>3</sup>). Such decrease of nitrate content together with  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N data for those wells suggest well-advanced denitrification process. Enrichment in <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>18</sup>O of the remaining nitrate corresponds to initial nitrate content. In majority of the measured wells nitrogen excess has been below the detection limit of ca. 3.5 mg NO<sub>3</sub>/dm<sup>3</sup>. This method is not sensitive enough to detect denitrification of natural nitrate which concentrations in groundwater in the study area were generally below 10 mg NO<sub>3</sub>/dm<sup>3</sup>. The presented study demonstrated that combining isotope analyses of nitrates with tritium or other transient tracers may provide additional insights into the dynamics of water and nitrate transformation in groundwater systems.

Key words: excess nitrogen, isotope composition of nitrate, denitrification, fissured-karstic aquifer.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Unconfined groundwater systems, particularly fissured-karstic aquifers, are vulnerable to anthropogenic pollution and the resulting deterioration of groundwater quality. Dissolved nitrate is among the most common pollutants occurring in shallow groundwater systems in Europe. Its presence in groundwater is usually linked to intensive agriculture and prolonged, excessive usage of fertilizers. In some cases point sources of nitrate of various nature such as leakages from landfills and septic tanks may also pose serious threat to groundwater quality (Juergens-Gschwind, 1989; Canter, 1997; Hatfield, Follett, 2008). Under natural conditions, denitrification is the only process leading to reduction of nitrate content in groundwater. The end-product of this process is gaseous nitrogen. The intensity of denitrification process is controlled by redox potential, availability of labile organic matter and presence of denitrifying bacteria in the system (Bothe *et al.*, 2007).

In this paper the authors discuss nitrate pollution in a groundwater system situated near Opole, southwestern Poland (Żurek, 1995; Kryza, Staśko, 2000). The investigated aquifer is of high economic value as a source of drinking water for the Opole region. Since more than three decades a substantial deterioration of water quality is observed in the southern, unconfined part of the system, resulting mainly from elevated concentrations of nitrates, often exceeding the maximum permissible concentration allowed by Polish law (50 mg NO<sub>3</sub>/dm<sup>3</sup>). However, it was observed that the nitrate concentrations drop abruptly in the northern, confined part

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> AGH – University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Geology, Geophysics and Environment Protection, al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland; e-mail: zurek@agh.edu.pl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> AGH – University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland; e-mail: rozanski@novell.ftj.agh.edu.pl, kuc@novell.ftj.agh.edu.pl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, Department of Physicochemistry of Ecosystems, Radzikowskiego 152, 31-342 Kraków, Poland; e-mail: pawel.mochalski@ifj.edu.pl

of the system, which needed to be explained. From several possibilities Żurek (1995), Kryza and Staśko (2000) suggested denitrification process as being the main reason for those observations. This possibility has been examined in the framework of the presented study by combined use of two independent tracers of the denitrification process: (i) the nitrogen and oxygen isotope composition of the dissolved nitrate, and (ii) the excess of dissolved nitrogen in groundwater. Assessment of denitrification rates in various parts of the studied system is of importance for effective management of available groundwater resources and for planning of new production boreholes.

### DENITRIFICATION IN GROUNDWATER SYSTEMS

The term "denitrification" describes bacterially mediated process of nitrate reduction to gaseous nitrogen compounds occurring naturally in groundwater systems under anaerobic conditions and presence of organic matter:

$$NO_3 \Rightarrow NO_2 \Rightarrow NO \Rightarrow N_2O \Rightarrow N_2$$
 [1]

The chain of transformations described schematically by equation [1], can be summarized in terms of stechiometric reaction:

$$4 \text{ NO}_3^- + 5 \text{ C}_{\text{org}} + 2 \text{ H}_2\text{O} \Rightarrow 2 \text{ N}_2 + 4 \text{ HCO}_3^- + \text{CO}_2$$
 [2]

Nitrate is the source of oxygen for bacteria feeding on organic matter present in the system in situations when atmospheric oxygen dissolved in groundwater is already exhausted. Thus, redox potential of groundwater (Eh) is establishing the necessary conditions for launching the denitrification process (Kölle et al., 1983; Feast et al., 1998; Żurek, 2002). In situations when organic substrate is absent, the reduction of nitrate is still possible via oxidation of sulphides to sulphates or bivalent iron  $(Fe^{2+})$  to  $Fe(OH)_3$ . This process is called chemical denitrification (Kölle et al., 1983; Feast et al., 1998). It explains field observations when reduction of nitrate concentration is associated with increase of sulphate content or presence of Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions (Żurek, 2002). When large amounts of organic matter are present in the system, nitrate can be converted under reduced conditions to  $NH_4^-$  (Keeney, 1989). This is so-called DNRA process (dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium - Santoro, 2009).

There are several ways of identifying the denitrification processes in groundwater systems: (i) microbiological identification of specific strains of denitrifying bacteria in groundwater (Barabasz, 1985); (ii) exploring the links between redox potential of groundwater and occurrence of denitrification process (Mariotti, 1986); (iii) identifying specific proteins in groundwater characteristic for the denitrification process (Santoro, 2009); (iv) measuring the excess concentration of nitrogen gas in groundwater (Heaton *et al.*, 1983), and (v) analysing nitrogen and oxygen isotope composition of the dissolved nitrate (Böttcher *et al.*, 1990). The last two methods were employed in the framework of the present study and are discussed below in some detail.

### TRACING THE DENITRIFICATION PROCESS USING EXCESS NITROGEN CONCENTRATION IN GROUNDWATER

The measured concentration of gaseous nitrogen dissolved in groundwater ( $C_m$ ) can be separated into three components (e.g. Heaton *et al.*, 1983; Cook, Herczeg, 2000; Żurek, Mochalski, 2010):

$$C_m = C_{\rm atm} + C_{\rm exa} + C_{\rm den}$$
[3]

The atmospheric component ( $C_{atm}$ ) represents equilibrium concentration of atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> dissolved in the infiltrating water under pressure and temperature conditions characteristic for the recharge area of the given groundwater system. The excess air component ( $C_{exa}$ ) stems from the fact that the infiltration process usually leads to dissolution of some additional air in groundwater, when compared to the equilibrium amount dissolved under given pressure and temperature conditions. The denitrification component ( $C_{den}$ ) represents gaseous N<sub>2</sub> produced during the denitrification process. In order to derive the denitrification component from the measured concentration of N<sub>2</sub> in groundwater, the remaining two components have to be measured or assessed.

The equilibrium concentration of nitrogen in groundwater can be calculated if atmospheric pressure and temperature of the recharge zone is known. The temperature of groundwater in the recharge area can be derived through measurements of concentrations of dissolved heavy noble gases (Ar, Kr, Xe). Equilibrium concentrations of those gases in water are known functions of temperature and pressure (e.g. Stute, Schlosser, 1993; Aeschbach-Hertig et al., 1999; Cook, Herczeg, 2000). Those equilibrium concentrations have to be corrected for presence of excess air. This in turn is possible through measurement of dissolved neon concentration which solubility in water is practically independent of temperature. To summarize, the gaseous nitrogen present in groundwater, associated with the denitrification process, can be quantified if, in addition to the total dissolved nitrogen, also the concentration of neon and one or more heavy noble gases dissolved in groundwater is measured in the same groundwater sample. This gaseous nitrogen associated with denitrification process can in turn be converted to equivalent nitrate content using equation [2].

### ISOTOPIC COMPOSITION OF NITRATE AS AN INDICATOR OF DENITRIFICATION PROCESS

Physical, biological and chemical processes associated with nitrogen cycling in nature lead to isotope differentiation of substrates and products in the course of various chemical reactions and transformations of nitrogen compounds. They may influence stable isotope ratios of nitrogen  $(^{15}N/^{14}N)$  or oxygen  $(^{18}O/^{16}O)$  or isotopic composition of other elements (such as hydrogen) present in some of those compounds.

During denitrification processes isotopically light molecules of  $NO_3^-$  are favoured. Isotopic fractionation associated with reaction described with equation [2] can be expressed by the following approximate formula:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{product-substrate}} = \varepsilon_{\text{p-s}} \approx \delta^{15} N_{\text{product}} - {}^{15} N_{\text{substrate}}$$
 [4]

where  $\varepsilon_{p-s}$  stands for effective isotope depletion in <sup>15</sup>N of the product, when compared to the substrate. Similar expression can be formulated for <sup>18</sup>O, although in this case there are two substrates (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>O) and two products (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, CO<sub>2</sub>) containing oxygen atoms, associated with the denitrification process.

Isotopic evolution of the product(s) and substrate(s) during the denitrification process can be described by the

so-called Rayleigh model. The following approximate formula applies for calculating the nitrogen isotope composition of nitrate remaining in groundwater, when the initial isotopic composition ( $\delta^{15}N_{si}$ ) and the isotope depletion ( $\epsilon_{p-s}$ ) is known (e.g. Mariotti *et al.*, 1988):

$$\delta^{15} N_{sf} = \delta^{15} N_{si} + \varepsilon_{p-s} \cdot \ln(F)$$
<sup>[5]</sup>

where F is the fraction of nitrate left in the system from the beginning of the process. Similar equation can be written for  $^{18}$ O.

Denitrification processes observed in the field usually reveal a linear relationship between <sup>18</sup>O and <sup>15</sup>N isotope enrichments in the remaining nitrate pool, the ratio being between 0.5 and 0.7 (Aravena, Robertson, 1998; Kendall *et al.*, 2007). However, in the laboratory denitrification experiments with isolated strains of denitrifying bacteria Granger *et al.* (2004, 2008) found the ratio of <sup>18</sup>O to <sup>15</sup>N enrichment close to one. The denitrification process in groundwater can be then identified by the characteristic shift towards more positive  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\delta^{18}$ O values measured in the dissolved nitrate, associated with the reduction of NO<sub>3</sub> content (e.g. Leibundgut *et al.*, 2009).

### **STUDY AREA**

The studied aquifer (Major Groundwater Basin No. 333) is located in southwestern Poland, in the vicinity of Opole (Fig. 1). This is a fissured-karstic system filled with Muschel-kalk sediments consisting of carbonate and dolomite rocks with presence of fissures, cracks and karstic voids which control the flow of water. Water-bearing Permian–Triasic complex is composed of horizons of the Bunter Sandstone and sediments of the Roethian formation (Staśko, 1992; Kryza, Staśko, 2000) Hydrological conditions on the investigated area are determined by monoclinal structure of geological layers (Fig. 2). Outcrops of the water-bearing layers are located south from Opole, in the Strzelce Opolskie region (Fig. 1).

Natural conditions of water circulation in the aquifer are varying spatially, reflecting diverse geological structure and changing permeability of the main water-bearing layer. In southern part of the system, where well-permeable zone of Muschelkalk outcrops is located, only partly covered with thin Quaternary sediments, preferable conditions for recharge and groundwater flow occur. Under natural conditions, the main groundwater flow direction was to the west, towards the Odra River (Fig. 1). Recharge in northern part of the aquifer is very limited due to sick cover of loamy Keuper sediments. Present-day flow conditions in the system are heavily modified due to intensive abstraction (municipal pumping stations) and dewatering of local quarries supplying raw material for cement industry. Large depression cones have developed particularly in the area of Opole, Strzelce Opolskie, Tarnów Opolski and Górażdże.

# **METHODS**

In the framework of the present study nine wells located in the confined part of the system were selected for combined measurements of excess gaseous nitrogen and analysis of <sup>18</sup>O and <sup>15</sup>N isotope composition of dissolved nitrate (wells 1 to 9 in Fig. 1). Those analyses were supplemented by measurements of dissolved nitrate and tritium content. For those wells also basic physico-chemical characteristics measured *in situ* (temperature, pH, Eh, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen) are available (Żurek, Mochalski, 2010). For comparison, seven wells located in the unconfined part of the system, on the general direction of groundwater flow, were selected (wells A to G in Fig. 1). Oxygen and nitrogen isotope composition of dissolved nitrate, supplemented by nitrate and tritium content, were obtained for those wells from former studies (Kleczkowski *et al.*, 1987, Różański *et al.*, 2007).





The wells discussed in the text are labeled by letters (A to G) and numbers (1 to 9)



Fig. 2. Geological cross-section A-A' (Poprawski, 1987; Staśko, 1992, modified)

Isotopic composition of nitrogen and oxygen in dissolved nitrate was measured in mass spectrometry laboratory of the Environmental Physics Group, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, AGH University of Science and Technology. Details of sample preparation technique are described in Chmura *et al.* (2009). The results of isotope analyses are reported as relative deviations of the measured isotope ratios ( $^{15}N/^{14}N$  and  $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ) from generally excepted standards, expressed in per mill. The standards used were atmospheric nitrogen (Mariotti, 1983) and the international reference material VSMOW (Coplen, 1996) for  $\delta^{15}N$  and  $\delta^{18}O$ , respectively. Measurement uncertainties of  $\delta^{15}N$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  (1 sigma) were in the order of 0.3 and 0.6‰, respectively (Chmura *et al.*, 2009).

Nitrogen gas associated with the denitrification process was measured in the laboratory of the Department of Physicochemistry of Ecosystems, Institute of Nuclear Physics PAN, using gas chromatographic method. Details of sample preparation and measurement technique are presented elsewhere (Mochalski *et al.*, 2006; Żurek, Mochalski, 2010).

Measurements of nitrate concentration in the investigated samples were performed by spectrophotometry with the standard uncertainty in the order of 0.1 mg NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>/dm<sup>3</sup>. Tritium content in water samples was measured in the tritium laboratory of the Environmental Physics Group, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, AGH University of Science and Technology, using electrolytic enrichment followed by low-level liquid scintillation spectrometry (Florkowski, 1981; Kuc, Grabczak, 2005). Tritium results are reported in tritium units (TU). One TU corresponds to the isotopic ratio  ${}^{3}\text{H}{}^{1}\text{H} = 10^{-18}$  (1TU = 0.1192 Bg/kg of water). Measurement uncertainties are in the order of 0.3 TU.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The data discussed in the present work are summarized in Table 1.  $\delta^{18}$ O of dissolved nitrate is plotted as a function of  $\delta^{15}$ N in Figure 3, on the background of typical ranges of these values observed in different compartments of nitrogen cycle (Kendall *et al.*, 2007). The group of wells (A to G) representing unconfined part of the system reveals high nitrate content (between ca. 30 and 100 mg  $NO_3/dm^3$ ) accompanied by high tritium content (between 10.3 and 13.0 TU), comparable to tritium concentration in present-day precipitation in southern Poland.

#### Table 1

Well symbol	NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> [mg/dm <sup>3</sup> ]	$\delta^{15}N~(NO_3) \\ [\%]$	δ <sup>18</sup> O (NO <sub>3</sub> ) [‰]	<sup>3</sup> H [TU]	$\frac{N_{2\ den} \cdot 10^{-3}}{[cm^{3}_{\ STP}/cm^{3}]}$	$NO_{3 den}^{-}$ [mg/dm <sup>3</sup> ]	$O_2$ [mg/dm <sup>3</sup> ]
Uncovered southern part of aquifer							
А	100.5	5.4	14.3	10.3 (02.11.2005)*	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
В	42.0	4.5	14.3	10.5 (02.11.2005)*	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
С	30.0	8.9	4.4	11.4 (02.11.2006)*	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
D	50.2	5.4	14.5	11.3 (02.11.2005)*	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
Е	45.0	7.1	17.0	11.7 (02.11.2005)*	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
F	93.0	4.9	15.1	13.0 (08.11.2005)*	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
G	45.4	5.5	15.5	12.5 (08.11.2005)*	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
Northern part of aquifer covered with Keuper sediments							
1	2.4	18.9	16.2	0.0	$0.74 \pm \! 0.53$	4.1 ±3.1	0.00
2	2.7	18.5	10.3	0.6	<0.6	<3.5	0.35
3	0.6	21.1	6.0	0.4	<0.6	<3.5	0.30
4	0.5	23.5	20.2	0.0	<0.6	<3.5	0.26
5	0.3	23.5	35.5	0.3	n.m.	n.m.	n.m.
6	22.3	6.1	15.5	3.7 (08.11.2005)*	<0.6	<3.5	9.0
7	12.5	6.0	16.6	6.9 (08.11.2005)*	$3.23 \pm \! 0.61$	$17.0\pm3.5$	6.4
8	<0.1	n.m.	n.m.	0.0**	<0.6	<3.5	0.09
9	<0.1	n.m.	n.m.	0.0**	<0.6	<3.5	4.5

Nitrate content,  $\delta^{15}N$  and  $\delta^{18}O$  of nitrate, tritium, nitrogen from denitrification, equivalent nitrate concentration and oxygen content in sampled wells

\* - Różański et al. (2007); \*\* - Kleczkowski et al. (1988); n.m. - no measured



Fig. 3.  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3) - {}^{18}O(NO_3)$  relationship for the data presented in Table 1

Ranges of <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>18</sup>O isotope signatures of different sources of nitrate are shown after Kendall et al. (2007)

This confirms relatively fast infiltration and presence of fresh water in this part of the aquifer supporting high pumping yields of the production wells, in agreement with the fissured-karstic character of the system.

The isotopic composition of dissolved nitrate in this group of wells forms a tight cluster bordering the area typical for NO<sub>3</sub> from fertilizer input. This strongly suggest that major input of nitrate to groundwater in this area is most probably from agriculture. The only exception is the well C which reveals distinctly lower  $\delta^{18}$ O and higher  $\delta^{15}$ N values, associated with lowest nitrate content (30 mg/dm<sup>-3</sup>) when compared to the remaining wells in this group. In contrast to other wells, nitrate in this case may originate from point source (persistent application of manure or leaking septic wastes in the vicinity of the well).

The wells located in the confined part of the system, with the exception of wells Nos. 6 and 7, reveal greatly reduced nitrate content, between ca. 0.3 and 2.7 mg NO<sub>3</sub>/dm<sup>3</sup> (wells 1 to 5). Such decrease of nitrate content together with  $\delta^{18}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N data for those wells suggest well-advanced denitrification process. They are shifted on the  $\delta^{18}$ O– $\delta^{15}$ N plot to the right-hand side with respect to the data representing unconfined part of the system, clustering along the denitrification line with the slope of ca. 1:2. The enrichment in <sup>15</sup>N varies between ca. 15‰ (wells Nos. 1–3) and 20‰ (wells Nos. 4 and 5) which corresponds to ca. 3 to 5-fold reduction of the initial nitrate content (Granger et al., 2008), suggesting that the initial nitrate content varied between ca. 1.5 and  $3.0 \text{ mg NO}_3/\text{dm}^3$  for wells Nos. 3–5 and between ca. 7 and 12 mg NO<sub>3</sub>/dm<sup>3</sup> for wells Nos. 1 and 2. Water in those wells does not contain any significant amounts of tritium, strongly suggesting its pre-bomb age, in agreement with natural ranges of initial nitrate content assessed above. It is apparent from Table 1 that the detection limit of the nitrogen excess method is in the order of 3.5 mg/dm<sup>3</sup> of decomposed  $NO_3^-$ . It is, therefore, not surprising that in case of wells Nos. 3 and 4 no nitrogen associated with denitrification could be detected (well No. 5 was not measured). The well No. 1 falls just at the border of the sensitivity of the method. The assessed initial concentration of  $NO_3^-$  in this well derived from excess of nitrogen (ca. 3.4 to 9.6 mg NO<sub>3</sub>/dm<sup>3</sup>) falls within the range suggested by the isotopic composition of nitrate (7 and 12 mg  $NO_3/dm^3$ ) possibly indicating some (if any) input of anthropogenic nitrate. Traces of tritium in well No. 2 (0.6 TU) might indicate small admixture of fresh water.

The well No. 6 is located just at the border of the confined part of the system (cf. Fig. 1). It reveals elevated nitrate content (22.3 mg  $NO_3/dm^3$ ) with its <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>18</sup>O isotopic composition lacking any sign of denitrification (cf. Fig. 3). Also the excess of nitrogen is below the detection limit. These indicators, combined with significant tritium content (3.7 TU) clearly demonstrate that although the well is located already within the confined part of the system, the travel time of water to this well from the recharge area is apparently too short for the denitrification process to leave significant imprint either in the isotopic composition of nitrate or in the excess of nitrogen.

The well No. 7 is located in the western part of the system, close to Opole municipal area. Water from this well contains significant amount of nitrate (12.5 mg NO<sub>3</sub>/dm<sup>3</sup>). Isotopic signature of nitrate does not reveal any signs of the denitrification process. Tritium content is relatively high (6.9 TU), pointing to recent recharge. Surprisingly enough, this water reveals high N<sub>2</sub> excess, equivalent to ca. 17 mg NO<sub>3</sub>/dm<sup>3</sup> of decomposed nitrate. Such high value cannot be reconciled with significant tritium content in this water and with the isotopic signature of nitrate nor revealing any signs of the denitrification process. High concentration of dis-

solved oxygen equal 6.4 mg/dm<sup>3</sup> (Table 1) and high redox potential (344 mV) reported by Żurek and Mochalski (2010) for this well essentially exclude any significant denitrification in this case. Therefore, other reasons for high  $N_2$  excess detected in this water must be thought.

The wells No. 8 and 9 are located within Opole municipal area, in the northwestern corner of the study area. Nitrate content in these wells is below the detection limit of the method used (<0.1 mg NO<sub>3</sub>/dm<sup>3</sup>). Water is tritium-free and no traces of N<sub>2</sub> excess could be detected. All these indicators suggest significant age of groundwater and (almost) complete denitrification of the natural nitrate. Interestingly enough, the well No. 9 revealed noble gas temperature equal ca. 3.6°C (Żurek, Mochalski, 2010), pointing to glacial origin of this water. Glacial origin of water in this part of the system is also suggested by significantly reduced <sup>2</sup>H and <sup>18</sup>O content in this water when compared to recent recharge (Kleczkowski *et al.*, 1988), providing independent proof of its great age.

# **CONCLUDING REMARKS**

The investigated groundwater system located in southwest Poland revealed a broad range of nitrate concentration. The measured nitrate content varied from below detection limit (<0.1) to ca. 100 mg/dm<sup>3</sup>. A dominant role of diffuse source of nitrate (fertilizers) was confirmed, based on oxygen and nitrogen isotopic composition of nitrate. The measured concentration of tritium in the analyzed groundwater samples also varied in relatively broad range, from 0 to ca. 13 TU.

Two contrasting groups of wells (one group located in the unconfined part of the system, representing presumable recharge area, and the second one located in the confined part) analyzed in the present study allowed a deeper insight into the fate of nitrate in the system. Isotope fingerprint of the denitrification process was clearly identified in several wells located in the confined part. Water from these wells was generally tritium-free and revealed very low nitrate contents which isotopic signature pointed to well-advanced denitrification process.

The measured <sup>18</sup>O and <sup>15</sup>N isotope enrichments in the remaining nitrate in the wells located in confined part of the investigated system indicate that initial nitrate concentrations were generally within the natural range or close to it (<10 mg dm<sup>3</sup>) suggesting that water being pumped by those wells was recharged before significant input of nitrate from fertilizers occurred in this area. This is consistent with absence of tritium in these wells. In turn, this would mean that the plume of anthropogenic nitrate did not yet penetrate substantially into confined part of the system and with time the wells located in this part may also experience elevated nitrate contents. The wells Nos. 6 and 7 which are located already within the confined part of the system, at the distance of ca. 0.5 and 2.0 km to the unconfined part, respectively, allowed an interesting glimpse into the dynamics of the denitrification process. Isotopic composition of nitrate from these wells did not revealed any signs of denitrification, despite the fact that tritium content was reduced to ca. 40-50% of the values recorded in the unconfined part, suggesting not negligible travel time of water to those wells within the confined part. Also other indicators (Eh, dissolved oxygen) clearly showed that appropriate conditions for denitrification were not yet reached in these two cases.

The method of identifying denitrification process in groundwater *via* excess of gaseous nitrogen turned out to be not sufficiently sensitive to detect denitrification of natural nitrate which concentrations in groundwater in the study area are thought to be generally well below 10 mg NO<sub>3</sub>/dm<sup>3</sup>. Nevertheless, this method remains an attractive tool for quantification of the denitrification processes in systems where denitrification of anthropogenic nitrate is already underway.

The presented study demonstrated that combining isotope analyses of nitrates with tritium or other transient tracers may provide additional insights into the dynamics of water and nitrate movement and transformation in groundwater systems.

Acknowledgements. Financial support of this work through Ministry of Science and High Education (grant No. NN 525 2058 33) and through the statutory funds of the AGH University of Science and Technology (project No. 11.11.220.01 and No. 11.11.140.139) is kindly acknowledged.

#### REFERENCES

- AESCHBACH-HERTIG W., PEETERS F., BEYERLE U., KIPFER R., 1999 — Interpretation of dissolved atmospheric noble gases in natural waters. *Water Resources Research*, 35, 9: 2779–2792.
- ARAVENA R., ROBERTSON W.D., 1998 Use of multiple isotope tracers to evaluate denitrification in ground water: Study of nitrate from a large-flux septic system plume. *Ground Water*, 36, 6: 975–982.
- BARABASZ W., 1985 Denitryfikacja w świetle współczesnych badań mikrobiologicznych i ekologicznych. *Postępy Mikrobiologii*, 24, 1/2: 83–101.
- BOTHE H., FERGUSON S.J., NEWTON W.E., 2007 Biology of the nitrogen cycle. Elsevier.
- BÖTTCHER J., STREBEL O., VOERKELIUS S., SCHMIDT H.L. 1990 — Using isotope fractionation of nitrate-nitrogen and nitrate-oxygen for evaluation of microbial denitrification in a sandy aquifer. J. Hydrol., 114, 3/4: 413–424.
- CANTER L.W., 1997 Nitrates in groundwater. CRC Lewis Publishers.
- CHMURA W.M., ROZANSKI K., KUC T., GORCZYCA Z., 2009 — Comparison of two methods for the determination of nitrogen and oxygen isotope composition of dissolved nitrate. *Nukleonika*, 54, 1: 17–23.
- COOK G.P., HERCZEG A.L., 2000 Environmental tracers in subsurface hydrology. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Boston.
- COPLEN T.B., 1996 New guidelines for reporting stable hydrogen, carbon and oxygen isotope-ratio data. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **60**: 3359–3360.
- FEAST N.A., HISCOCK K.M., DENNIS P.F., ANDREWS J.N., 1998 — Nitrogen isotope hydrochemistry and denitrification within the Chalk aquifer system of north Norfolk, UK. J. Hydrology, 211: 233–252.
- FLORKOWSKI T., 1981 Low-level tritium assay in water samples by electrolytic enrichment and liquid scintillation counting in IAEA laboratory. *In*: Proc. International Symposium on Methods of Low-Level Counting and Spectrometry. Vienna, IAEA: 335–351.
- GRANGER J., SIGMAN D.M., LEHMANN M.F., TORTELL Ph.D., 2008 — Nitrogen and oxygen isotope fractionation during dissimilatory nitrate reduction by denitrifying bacteria. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 53, 6: 2533–2545.
- GRANGER J., SIGMAN D.M., NEEDOBA J.A., HARRISON P.J., 2004 — Coupled nitrogen and oxygen isotope fractionation of nitrate during assimilation by cultures of marine phytoplankton. *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, **49**, 5: 1763–1773.
- HATFIELD J.L., FOLLETT R.F., 2008 Nitrogen in the environment: sources, problems, and management. Academic Press.
- HEATON T.H.E., TALMA A.S., VOGEL J.C., 1983 Origin and history of nitrate in confined groundwater in the western Kalahari. J. Hydrol., 62: 243–262.
- JUERGENS-GSCHWIND S., 1989 Ground water nitrate in other developed countries (Europe) – relationships to land use patterns. *In*: Nitrogen management and ground water protection (ed. R.F. Follett). Ser. "Developments in agricultural and managed – forest ecology", 21: 75–125. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- KEENEY D.R., 1989 Sources of nitrate to ground water. *In* Nitrogen management and ground water protection (ed. R.F. Follett), Ser. "Developments in agricultural and managed – forest ecology", 21: 23–33. Elsevier, Amsterdam.

- KENDALL C., ELLIOTT E.M., WANKEL S.D., 2007 Tracing anthropogenic inputs of nitrogen to ecosystems. *In*: Stable isotopes in ecology and environmental science (eds. R. Michener, K. Lajtha): 375–449. Blackwell Publ.
- KLECZKOWSKI A.S., WITCZAK S., BURY W., SOKOŁOWSKI D., 1988 — Ochrona środowiska wód podziemnych kredy opolskiej: 1–69. Arch. IHiGI AGH, Kraków.
- KÖLLE W., WERNER P., STREBEL O., BÖTTCHER J., 1983 Denitrifikation in einem reduzieren den Grundwasserleiter. *Vom Wasser*, 61: 125–147.
- KRYZA J., STAŚKO S., 2000 Groundwater flow rate and contaminant migration in fissure-karstic aquifer of Opole Triassic System. *Envir. Geol.*, **39**, 3/4: 384–389.
- KUC T., GRABCZAK J., 2005 Electrolytic enrichment for low-level assay of tritium in water samples. *In*: Proc. Conference on Nuclear Techniques in Industry, Medicine, Agriculture and Environmental Studies, Kraków: 422–427 [in Polish].
- LEIBUNDGUT CH., MALOSZEWSKI P., KÜLLS CH., 2009 Tracers in Hydrology. Wiley–Blackwell.
- MARIOTTI A., 1983 Atmospheric nitrogen is a reliable standard for natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance measurements. *Nature*, **303**: 685–687.
- MARIOTTI A., 1986 La denitrification dans les eaux souterraines. Principes et methodes de son identification – une revue. *J. Hydrol.*, 88: 1–23.
- MARIOTTI A., LANDREAU A., SIMON B., 1988 <sup>15</sup>N isotope biogeochemistry and natural denitrification process in groundwater: application to the chalk aquifer of northern France. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, **52**: 1869–1878.
- MOCHALSKI P., LASA J., SLIWKA I., 2006 Simultaneous determination of Ne, Ar and N<sub>2</sub> in groundwater by gas chromatography. *Anal. Chem.*, **51**: 825–831.
- POPRAWSKI L., 1987 Wpływ budowy geologicznej na kształtowanie się warunków hydrogeologicznych w dolinie Odry między Krapkowicami i ujściem Nysy Kłodzkiej [PhD thesis]. Inst. Nauk Geol. UWroc., Wrocław.
- RÓŻAŃSKI K., KUC T., CHMURA W., KLISCH M., ŻUREK A., CHMIEL M., 2007 — Nitrates in the Opole–Zawadzkie groundwater system – MGWB 333: an isotopic study. *In*: Współczesne problemy hydrogeologii, 13, 2: 313–324 [in Polish].
- SANTORO A.E., 2009 Microbial nitrogen cycling at the saltwater-freshwater interface. *Hydrogeol. J.*, **18**: 187–202.
- STAŚKO S., 1992 Groundwater in carbonate Triassic rocks in Opole region, SW Poland. Pr. Geol.-Miner., 32. Acta Univ. Wratis., 1407: 1–74 [in Polish].
- STUTE M., SCHLOSSER P., 1993 Principles and applications of noble gas paleothermometer. *In*: Climate change in continental isotopic records (eds. P.K. Swart *et al.*). *Geophysical Monograph*, **78**: 89–101.
- ŻUREK A., 1995 Kształtowanie się jakości wody w dużym zbiorniku wód podziemnych w warunkach intensywnej eksploatacji [PhD thesis]. AGH, Faculty of Geology, Geophysics and Environment Protection, Kraków.
- ŻUREK A., MOCHALSKI P., 2010 Use the gas chromatographic method for the denitrification process assessment in groundwater of the Triassic aquifer in Opole region (South Poland). *Geol. Kwart. AGH*, **56**, 1: 135–148 [in Polish].
- ŻUREK A., 2002 Nitrates in groundwater. *Biul. Państw. Inst. Geol.*, **400**: 114–141 [in Polish].