TRACE FOSSILS FROM THE CONTINENTAL UPPER TRIASSIC KÅGERÖD FORMATION OF BORNHOLM, DENMARK
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Abstract: The Upper Triassic Kågeröd Formation of Bornholm consists of clays, sandstones and conglomerates of floodplain and fluvial origin, but details of the depositional environment are poorly understood. Trace fossils are described for the first time from the red and green clays (floodplain with lake deposits) and cross-stratified sandstones (fluvial channel deposits) of the Upper Triassic Kågeröd Formation on Bornholm, Denmark. The sparse ichnofauna consists of large, mud-filled shafts and tunnels in caliche-bearing conglomerate, determined as Camborygma and attributed to the burrowing activity of crayfish. The sandstones preserve vertical U-shaped burrows passively filled with sand, assigned to Arenicolites and probably produced by insects. Furthermore, they contain winding, horizontal, oblique and vertical unbranched burrows with an active meniscate sand fill, referable to Taenidium and supposedly produced by burrowing beetles. The clays and the sandstones contain numerous caliche nodules of centimetre to decimetre size, some of which contain root traces in the form of rhizoliths. This trace-fossil association reflects deposition on a vast floodplain with shallow lakes and fluvial channels, under semiarid conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

The Danish island Bornholm in the Baltic Sea defines the border zone between the old and stable Fennoscandian-Baltic Shield in the north and the younger and subsiding Danish Basin, German Basin and Polish Trough in the south. It has been investigated by Danish, German and Swedish geologists for more than a century, because of its fascinating and complex geology. Most parts of Bornholm consist of crystalline basement (e.g., gneiss and granite), while other parts contain Palaeozoic sedimentary rocks. Only some down-faulted areas, such as the Runne Graben and the Arnager-Sose Block, preserve Mesozoic sedimentary deposits of Triassic to Cretaceous age, which are partly exposed in low-lying cliff sections along the southern and western coast of Bornholm.

The oldest Mesozoic unit of this succession is made up of late Triassic deposits and crops out on the south coast, near the mouth of the Risebæk Stream. These deposits belong to the Kågeröd Formation, named after time-equivalent and similar deposits in Scania, southern Sweden (Erström and Sivhed, 2012); the name of the unit is also spelled Kågerød in some of the Danish literature. The facies of the Swedish and Danish Kågeröd Formation shows parallels to the time-equivalent Norian Steinmargelkeuper (Arstadt Formation) in Germany (e.g., Shukla et al., 2006) and reflects a marginal position within the German Basin facies province.

Since the 19th century, sporadic investigations of the Triassic Kågeröd Formation on Bornholm have been dependent on the outcrop situation, because landslides and vegetation might have covered them for decades (Forchhammer, 1838; Grönwall and Milthers, 1916; Höhne, 1933; Gry, 1969; Bertelsen, 1980; Gravesen et al., 1982; Hamann, 1989; Knaust, 1997; Clemmensen et al., 2011). Therefore, the Triassic of Bornholm remains poorly understood as based on only a few stratigraphical and lithological studies, performed so far, compared with the extensively examined, adjacent deposits of Jurassic and Cretaceous age (see Gravesen, 1996). The Kågeröd Formation has a scarce fossil content (such as ostracods; Christensen, 1972), and its trace-fossil content is presented here for the first time. Together with sedimentological evidence, the ichnological data add some information that is useful for palaeoenvironmental interpretation (see Knaust and Bromley, 2012).

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Upper Triassic (late Ladinian to Carnian) deposits of the Risebæk Member (Kågeröd Formation; Gravesen et al., 1982) are exposed in several cliff sections on the coast, near the mouth of the Risebæk Stream (between coordinates 55°02’05.6”N, 14°52’26.2”E and 55°02’10.0”N, 14°52’10.0”E; Fig. 1). The outcrop area is reached by walking...
along the field and the Risegård farm and is entered through a large landslide, about 60 × 60 m in size. Cliff instability is obvious and is related to the clay-rich substrate and the steep dip of strata towards the south due to faulting. The cliff sections are part of a narrow fault block, trending east-west and bounded in the north (about 150 m from the cliff edge) by the hanging-wall fault block with Upper Ordovician Dicellograptus Shale exposed with a little waterfall in the Risebæk valley (Hamann, 1989). The total thickness of the Triassic succession is estimated to be between 60 and 70 m, but it could be more (Gravesen, 1996).

SEDIMENTARY FACIES

Depending on the outcrop situation, clay dominates the cliff exposures, in which isolated sandstone bodies occur. Three lithofacies types can be discriminated: clay, conglomerate and sandstone (Figs 2–4). Individual conglomerate-sandstone-clay cycles show a general fining-upward trend, but coarsening-upward cycles also occur. These three lithofacies types are described in detail below:

(1) Clay with a brownish red and greyish green colour, and a massive appearance. The red colour of the clay originates from the ferric oxide (hematite), while the green colour is related to a reduced state of iron. The clay contains abundant caliche nodules with a mixed carbonate-siliciclastic composition. In-situ brecciation is common and has led to the formation of lithoclasts. The nodules frequently are dissected by tangential cracks filled with sparry calcite cement (Knaust, 1997). Caliche nodules are often washed out and have accumulated at the beach.

(2) Conglomerate and pebble-bearing sandstone of grey, red and yellow-brown colour and with weak stratification, containing rock fragments and caliche nodules. Some conglomerates are clast-supported and originated from the in-situ brecciation of caliche crusts. More mature conglomerates have a matrix- or grain-supported fabric. Point counting (n = 500) of four randomly selected sandstone samples resulted in recognition of the predominance of rock fragments (e.g., caliche fragments and sandstone clasts) in addition to detrital minerals (e.g., quartz and feldspar) as well as matrix or cement (Knaust, 1997).

(3) Sandstone varieties with a yellow to grey colour, including pebble-bearing sandstone and coarse- and fine-grained sandstone with reworked rock fragments, parallel and cross-stratification, and ripple lamination. Erosion and syn-sedimentary deformation structures are common. The sandstone is composed of rock fragments (e.g., reworked caliche nodules and sandstone clasts) commonly corroded and superficially stained with limonite. Reworked fossils (mainly fish remains) and plant fragments are present.

SYSTEMATIC ICHNOLOGY

_Camborygma eumekenomos_ Hasiotis and Mitchell, 1993

**Description:** Multiply branched, cylindrical burrow systems occurring in the conglomeratic facies (Fig. 5). The burrow systems
include sub-vertical and oblique shafts, from which sub-horizontal tunnels arise. Individual burrow elements are up to 40 cm long and have a diameter of 2–3 cm. Burrow terminations and intervals between them may be enlarged with chambers up to 5 cm wide. The burrow systems are passively filled with green clay. No ornamentation (e.g., scratches, striations) is developed or preserved along the burrow margins.

Ichnotaxonomy: Because of its complex burrow architecture, individual occurrences of Camborygma may be confused with morphologically similar crustacean burrows and thus not permit a clear distinction. Loloichnus is another crayfish burrow in continental deposits, which differs from Camborygma in the absence of chambers, common tunnels and multiple shafts (Bedatou et al., 2008). Other decapod crustacean burrows (e.g., Ophiomorpha, Thalassinoides, Spongeliomorpha, Psilonichnus, Pholeus and others) may partly resemble crayfish burrows (e.g., Martin et al., 2008), but are restricted to marine environments. Four ichnosppecies have been distinguished by Hasiotis and Mitchell (1993), on the basis of the manner and complexity of burrow branching, the development of chambers, and size: C. eumekenomos, C. symplokonemos, C. araioklados and C. litonomos.

Producers and ethology: Camborygma is the burrow of crayfish (continental decapod crustaceans) and can be compared directly with modern analogues (e.g. Hobbs, 1981). It is a trace that served the purposes of dwelling and reproduction (domichnion; Hasiotis, 2010).
**Palaeoenvironment and stratigraphy:** Camborygma is a common constituent of continental ichnofacies. Crayfish evolved in the Permian and crayfish burrows such as Camborygma are recorded from continental deposits of Permian to Holocene age (Hasiotis, 2010; Baucon et al., 2014). Camborygma is a continental trace fossil, typically associated with weakly- to well-developed palaeosols, formed in proximal to distal, alluvial and marginal-lacustrine environments (channels, levees and overbanks, floodplains). A high abundance of burrowing crayfish occurs in humid to hot, wet seasonal climates, although it also occurs under continental and semiarid conditions (Hasiotis and Mitchell, 1993; Hasiotis, 2010; Fiorillo et al., 2015). Starting from a discontinuity surface at the top, the burrow shafts penetrate the vadose zone and typically branch below the water table with the chamber situated in the phreatic zone. The architecture and depth of the hydrophilic burrows reflect the depth and fluctuation of the ancient water table (Hasiotis and Mitchell, 1993). Burrow density and size variation are other aspects in the reconstruction of palaeoenvironments. Lateral variation in burrow density may reflect spatial heterogeneity in the water table and soil moisture levels, while crayfish size increases from the fluvial channel towards the overbank (Kowalewski et al., 1998).

Fig. 3. Part of the section of the Kågeröd Formation at Risbæk with representative lithofacies in photographs (scale bars = 10 cm) and their associated trace fossils. Modified after Knaust (1997).
Fig. 4. Microfacies of common rock types of the Kägeröd Formation at Risebek. Scale bars = 1 cm. A. Drusy caliche nodule with micritic matrix, which is frequently dissected by fractures filled with sparry calcite. This fracturing led to the formation of an in-situ breccia with angular lithoclasts in a sparry matrix. B. In-situ brecciation of a large caliche nodule, the micritic matrix of which is stained with limonite (brownish colour) and dissected by fractures filled with sparry calcite. C. Incipient conglomerate originated from an in-situ brecciated caliche crust. D. Conglomerate consisting of caliche nodules, sandstone and mud clasts, quartz and feldspar grains. The caliche nodules show tangential and radial cracks filled with calcite. E. Bedded sandstone with lithoclast pebbles and abundant fish remains (lower part) and conglomerate with caliche nodules and sandstone clasts (upper part). F. Bedded sandstone bearing pebbles of sandstone and caliche nodules.
Arenicolites cf. variabilis Fürsich, 1974

Description: A bedding plane of a white-grey, partly pinkish and brownish, fine-grained ripple-laminated sandstone bed is disrupted by numerous vertical burrows, the empty apertures of which are arranged in pairs (Fig. 6A–D). The paired burrow apertures reach a density as great as approximately 100 per square metre, and the burrow diameter of most apertures varies between 0.2 and 0.5 cm, although some may be slightly funnel-shaped and enlarged. The distance between the paired apertures is mostly in the range of 0.7 to 2.5 cm, while patches with a higher burrow density make an identification of individual pairs challenging. Removal of the uppermost layer of the weathered sandstone bed (which is ca. 2–3 cm thick) revealed the same paired burrows passively filled with sand, which contrasts in its lighter colour from the surrounding brownish, iron-stained rock. Furthermore, the burrows show a thin but significant lining of mud. On the same bedding plane, A. cf. variabilis is accompanied by sparse Palaeophycus cf. alternatus. The total length of the burrows and their basal U-shaped turn remains indefinite in most cases because of the lack of exposure. In the deeper tier, A. cf. variabilis co-occurs with Taenidium barretti.

Ichnotaxonomy: Although a basal bend of the burrows could only rarely been verified, the paired occurrence of the vertical burrows together with their appearance leaves no doubt about their U-shaped morphology (Arenicolites), instead of simple vertical shafts (Skolithos). It is likely that those burrows were already observed by Gry (1969, p. 72), who mentioned “…skolithos-like burrows occur in the sandstone”. On the basis of their overall morphology, the occurrence of a mud lining as well as their similar size, the described burrows are most akin to A. variabilis and are provisionally assigned to that ichnospecies, until a thorough review of the ichnogenus Arenicolites has consolidated all its ichnospecies. About 15 ichnospecies of Arenicolites have been described in the literature, many of them pending a critical review. Differentiation criteria include secondary branching (A. carbonarius) and orientation of the limbs (vertical in A. sparsus, the most characteristic Arenicolites ichnospecies; inclined in A. curvatus; variable in A. variabilis; subhorizontal in A. longistriatus), and the presence or absence of a lining (Rindsberg and Kopaska-Merkel, 2005).
Fig. 6. Cross-stratified, fine-grained sandstone bed, containing various burrows. Scale bars in A, E and F = 5 cm, in B–D = 1 cm. A. Bedding surface with numerous paired burrow apertures, belonging to Arenicolites cf. variabilis. B. Slab of bedding surface with Arenicolites cf. variabilis burrow apertures and weathered horizontal burrows, probably belonging to Palaeophycus isp. C. Same slab as in B (ca. 2–3 cm thick), lower surface with A. cf. variabilis (vertical burrows) and Palaeophycus cf. alternatus (horizontal burrows). D. Close-up view from C, showing thin burrow lining in A. cf. variabilis and P. cf. alternatus, and the sculptured surface of P. cf. alternatus. E. Deeper tier of the same bed as in A–D (ca. 10–30 cm below surface) with actively filled brownish burrows, belonging to Taenidium barretti. F. Loose slab of sandstone collected from the beach with a reworked caliche nodule and winding T. barretti burrows with pronounced backfill.
ichnogenus *Arenicolites* is restricted to vertical U-tubes without spreite and should not include broad, arc-like burrows, such as those described and figured by Bjørnstedt (1987), Mángano et al. (2002) and Baucon et al. (2014). The latter are better accommodated in *Catenarichnus* Bradshaw, 2002 (if thinly lined or unlined), or in *Cylindrical* Toots in Howard, 1966 (if thickly lined).

**Producers and ethology:** The lining of the relative large burrows indicates that they were occupied over a longer time by a suspension-feeding animal (domichnion) that benefited from the infrequent current action, associated with this channel-overbank deposit. Potential producers of continental *Arenicolites* may include bi-valves, oligochaetes, amphipod crustaceans and insects (e.g., Smith and Hein, 1971; Hasiotis, 2010).

**Palaeoenvironment and stratigraphy:** Opportunistic colonisation of event beds (e.g., storm deposits) was originally referred to the *Arenicolites* ichnofacies by Bromley and Asgaard (1991), but now is included in the *Skolithos* ichnofacies, occurring both in marine and continental settings. *Arenicolites* is known from a wide range of continental to deep-marine environments and often occurs in association with other trace fossils. Mass occurrences of *Arenicolites* in low diversity (such as the one reported from Bornholm) are indicative of stressed environments, such as infrequent current activity, and reflect opportunistic colonisation of open niches. *Arenicolites* is commonly associated with high- and moderate-energy deposition, for instance, fluval channel-overbank deposits. *Arenicolites* is known from the Lower Cambrian to the Holocene.

**Palaeophycus cf. alternatus** Pemberton and Frey, 1982

**Description:** *Arenicolites cf. variabilis* from the bed described above is accompanied by a few horizontal, unbranched and cylindrical burrows with a thin mud lining, passive fill and sculptured margin, which can be assigned to *Palaeophycus cf. alternatus* (Fig. 6C, D). On the upper bedding surface, the straight to slightly winded burrows are weathered and their outline is weakly discernible, while on deeper bedding planes better preserved specimens occur. The burrows are 0.3–0.4 cm in diameter and 4–5 cm long, slightly annulated and bear robust scratches oblique to the burrow long axes.

**Ichnotaxonomy:** The ichnogenus *Palaeophycus* includes about 20 ichnospecies, of which the thin-walled *P. tubularis* and thick-walled *P. heberti* are the most relevant. Other ichnospecies are very thinly lined and differ from each other by varying kinds of striae (Pemberton and Frey, 1982). The characteristics of the material described meets the diagnostic features of *Palaeophycus* (cf. Keighley and Pickering, 1995) and the development of annulation and oblique striae makes an assignment to *P. alternatus* most likely (cf. Buckman, 1995).

**Producers and ethology:** Various kinds of vermiform organisms (e.g., annelids) are generally assumed to produce *Palaeophycus*. In the present case, the robust striae may probably result from scratching with strong appendices, as it is common for arthropods. The similar appearance and burrow diameter compared with co-occurring *Arenicolites cf. variabilis* indicates the same producer for both burrow types, probably an insect. *Palaeophycus* is commonly interpreted as the dwelling (domichnion) of a predaceous or suspension-feeding animal (Pemberton and Frey, 1982).

**Palaeoenvironment and stratigraphy:** *Palaeophycus* occurs in a wide range of palaeoenvironments in marine and continental settings. Continental *Palaeophycus* occur in different ichnogenus (Melchor et al., 2012), of which the *Mormia* and *Scoyenia* ichnogenus are probably most relevant, and is common in (but not restricted to) fluval and lacustrine deposits. *Palaeophycus* is known from the Proterozoic to the Holocene.

**Taenidium barretti** (Bradshaw, 1981)

**Description:** The most fine-grained ripple-laminated sandstone bed, hosting *Arenicolites cf. variabilis* and *Palaeophycus cf. alternatus* at the top (as well as other beds, partly with reworked caliche nodules), also contains *Taenidium barretti* in a deeper tier (ca. 10–30 cm below surface). The cylindrical, unlined and unbranched burrows are winding in a (predominantly) sub-horizontal to sub-vertical direction (Fig. 6E, F). The diameter of the burrows varies between 0.3 and 1.2 cm. Burrows are actively filled with sand, slightly darker in colour than the host rock, and the fill shows a meniscate structure. The backfill menisci are often tightly spaced and, although discernible, with little contrast in lithology, grain size or colour.

**Ichnotaxonomy:** About seven *Taenidium* ichnospecies are currently distinguished, although this number may change after a thorough review of *Taenidium* and related meniscate trace fossils. The distinction of *Taenidium* from the similar trace fossil *Beaconites* is still debated and finally may show that *Beaconites* must be regarded as junior synonym of *Taenidium* (see discussion in D’Alessandro and Bromley, 1987; Goldring and Pollard, 1995). Likewise, *Nakodemasia* ichnospecies probably also can be accommodated in this ichnogenus (Krapovickas et al., 2009). Ichnospecies of *Taenidium* are differentiated by the style of meniscate backfill, and *T. serpentinium*, *T. diesingi*, *T. cameronesis*, *T. barretti*, *T. irregularare* (= *T. crassum*), *T. planicostatum* and *T. bowi* can currently be regarded as valid ichnospecies.

**Producers and ethology:** By comparison with modern analogues and experimental studies, there is good confidence that continental *T. barretti* (like the material from the Kägeröd Formation of Bornholm) is produced by the activity of beetles, soil bugs, cicada nymphs, or other insects, but also earthworms (Brussaard and Runia, 1984; Hembree and Hasiotis, 2008; Smith et al., 2008; Counts and Hasiotis, 2009). *Taenidium* is interpreted as burrows produced by a combination of detritus-feeding, locomotion and dwelling behaviours (domichnion, repichnion; Hembree and Hasiotis, 2008). It is likely that the trace makers fed on organic matter and roots within the soil profile (fodichnion; Smith et al., 2008).

**Palaeoenvironment and stratigraphy:** *T. barretti* is a typical continental trace fossil of the *Scyenia* ichnogenus, where it is commonly found in alluvial, fluval and marginal-lacustrine environments (e.g., Keighley and Pickering, 1994; Bedatous et al., 2009; Hasiotis, 2010; Melchor et al., 2012) of Lower Ordovician to Pleistocene age (Keighley and Pickering, 1994). It is part of many soil deposits, particularly those with a higher amount of moisture, but above the water table (A and upper B horizons of soil; Hembree and Hasiotis, 2008).

**Root traces (rhizoliths)**

**Description:** Root traces occur in form of rhizoliths (i.e. organo-sedimentary structures resulting in the preservation of plant roots in mineral matter; Klappa, 1980) preferably in the fine-grained, but occasionally also in the coarser-grained sandstone. Fragments of rhizoliths can be found on the beach in front of the outcrop, where they resist fast breakup compared to sandstone without roots because of their preferential cementation in friable host sand. Several kinds of preservation can be recognised and reflect different stages in the decay and diagenetic alteration of the roots (Fig. 7): (1) in some cases, the carbonaceous material of the decayed root is partly preserved with a dark-brown to black colour and a crust of incipient carbonate cement is developed around the root. The root leads to a concretionary growth with diffuse calcite cementation around it (rhizoconcretion); (2) the most common preservation is in the form of amorphous or crystalline dolomite, which has re-
placed the root itself and filled the root cast. Such preservation results in a beige to light-brown colour of the root cast, which is surrounded by a nebulous calcite rim; (3) the third style of preservation is related to the previous one, but shows a more advanced and complex cementation pattern within the root cast in the form of calciﬁcation. Cross-sections of such pedodiagenetic accumulations within decaying roots resemble caliche nodules, which are common in the conglomerate and sandstone facies (Fig. 4), with features such as radial and circular voids and fractures cemented with calcite. Carbonaceous material is partly preserved and incorporated in the carbonate cement.

The style of preservation may also be dependent on the types of plant, which originally produced the root traces. Although purely based on fragmentary material and therefore potentially concerning parts of one and the same type of plant, four basic morphotypes of root traces can be recognised: (1) horizontal rootlets, a few millimetres in diameter, without preserved branching and with numerous short root hairs more or less perpendicular to the main root; (2) vertical, cylindrical parts of roots, commonly between 1 and 2 cm in diameter, without preserved branching and a rugose outer surface; (3) vertical, cylindrical parts of roots, commonly between 1 and 2 cm in diameter, with frequent branching, so that oﬀ-branches radiating from the central axis, are a few millimetres in diameter, a few centimetres in length, and distally tapering; and (4) horizontal networks of bifurcated rootlets with a diameter of a few millimetres and length of individual segments of several centimeters.

Ichnotaxonomy: Fossil plant roots are covered by the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (McNeill et al., 2012) and can be named independent from other parts of the plant. There is a transition between fossil plant roots (body fossils) and their traces (trace fossils). Attempts to classify fossil root plants and their traces have been made by Klappa (1980), Pfefferkorn and Fuchs (1991), Bockelie (1994) and Wright et al. (1995).

Producers and ethology: Unknown kinds of higher plants originally colonised ﬂoodplain deposits (such as riverbanks) in a continental environment and produced the root traces.

Palaeoenvironment and stratigraphy: The different types of root traces preserved in the section studied indicate a relatively diverse ﬂora of higher plants colonising the sandy substrate on emerging overbank deposits, adjacent to the river channels. Furthermore, different stages of preservation indicate pedogeomic processes and the development of incipient palaesoals. In turn, these data indicate higher humidity during deposition of the sandy sediments of the Kageröd Formation than previously was understood and support an interpretation of semiarid, rather than arid conditions (e.g., Gravesen et al., 1982, p. 45).

INTERPRETATION AND CONCLUSION

The thick, brownish-red and greyish-green mud deposits of the Bornholm Kageröd Formation originated from sedimentation on a ﬂoodplain with shallow lakes, under semiarid conditions. The conglomeratic and sandy facies in the upper part of the exposed succession indicate seasonal, monsoonal rain, in an overall semiarid climate. The conglomerates were probably deposited as lag deposits on the beds of river channels. The sandstone represents the deposits of ﬂuvial channels, the meandering courses of which produced widespread levee and overbank deposits, with sparse vegetation and pedogenic modiﬁcations. Incipient palaesoals with caliche nodules developed within the clay, but also on top of alluvial fan deposits, the conglomeratic facies of which contains reworked caliche nodules and crayﬁsh burrows (Camborgynma eumekenomos) down to below the water table in the phreatic zone. The top surfaces of abandoned ﬂuvial channel deposits and their lateral levee and overbank deposits were rapidly colonised, probably by insects (e.g., beetles) and other invertebrates, resulting in a relatively low-diversity trace-fossil assemblage with a high abundance of Arenicolites cf. variabilis and Taenidium barretti, as well as rare Palaeophycus cf. alternatus. All members of this trace-fossil assemblage are characteristic for ﬂuvial channel belts and the continental Skolithos ichnofacies (Melchor et al., 2012). Further pedogenisation of the ﬂoodplain and overbank deposits allowed the growth of plants in a partly vegetated ﬂuvial environment, with root structures preserved in diagenetically modiﬁed (cemented) variants (rhizoliths).
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